CHALLENGES CONFRONTING ARBITRATION VIS-A-VIS COMMERCIAL DISPUTES: THE NIGERIAN EXPERIENCE

Juliet Orie Rex-Chikezie*

Abstract

This article explores the challenges confronting arbitration in relation to commercial disputes in Nigeria. It examines the legal and institutional framework for arbitration in Nigeria, identifying key issues such as legislative bottlenecks, difficulties in enforcing arbitral awards, corruption, arbitrator bias, and a general lack of awareness and expertise. A comparative analysis with international jurisdictions, specifically Singapore, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom, reveals best practices that Nigeria can adopt to improve its arbitration system. These jurisdictions have successfully developed robust legal frameworks, strong institutional support, and professional training programs to enhance arbitration processes. The article also explores the role of technology in improving arbitration efficiency and suggests areas for further research, such as the harmonization of arbitration laws in Africa, the impact of technology on arbitration, and the economic benefits of arbitration in attracting foreign investment. In conclusion, this article provided a comprehensive analysis of the current state of arbitration in Nigeria and recommended *inter alia* that there is an urgent need to update Nigeria's Arbitration and Conciliation Act to better align with international standards, such as the UNCITRAL Model Law, to ensure clarity, consistency, and efficiency in arbitration processes

Keywords: Arbitration, Arbitral Awards, Commercial Disputes, Institutional Support.

1. Introduction

Arbitration, as a form of alternative dispute resolution (ADR), has evolved as a preferred mechanism for resolving commercial disputes globally. In Nigeria, the rapid growth of trade and commerce, both domestically and internationally, has made arbitration a crucial tool for resolving disputes outside the often slow and costly judicial processes. Arbitration is especially vital in a developing economy like Nigeria, where a predictable and efficient dispute resolution mechanism is necessary to attract foreign investments and foster economic growth. According to Blackaby et al. (Redfern and Hunter on International Arbitration), arbitration offers a neutral forum for dispute resolution, allowing parties from different jurisdictions to resolve their issues without the complexities of national legal systems. This neutrality, combined with confidentiality and enforceability of awards, makes arbitration particularly suited to the resolution of commercial disputes in Nigeria.[1]

The primary objective of this study is to examine the role of arbitration in resolving commercial disputes in Nigeria, highlighting its benefits, challenges, and opportunities. The study seeks to analyze the existing legal and institutional frameworks governing arbitration in Nigeria, identifying areas that require improvement to enhance its effectiveness. As Moses (The Principles and Practice of International Commercial Arbitration) notes, arbitration's success depends on its adaptability to the unique legal, cultural, and economic contexts of

* **Juliet Orie Rex-Chikezie,** MBA, Ph.D, Abia State Judiciary

1 Blackaby, N., Partasides, C., Redfern, A., & Hunter, M.; Redfern and Hunter on International Arbitration; 2021; Oxford University Press; 7th Edition

each jurisdiction. In this regard, the study also aims to explore the interplay between arbitration practices in Nigeria and international best practices, assessing how Nigeria can leverage global trends to strengthen its arbitration system.[2]

Arbitration plays a significant role in commercial dispute resolution by providing an efficient, cost-effective, and business-friendly alternative to litigation. Its flexibility allows parties to customize the process to suit their needs, such as selecting arbitrators with expertise in the subject matter of the dispute. Orojo and Ajomo (Law and Practice of Arbitration and Conciliation in Nigeria) emphasize that arbitration fosters business relationships by avoiding the adversarial nature of litigation, which often damages commercial partnerships. Furthermore, the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards under the New York Convention provide additional assurance to parties engaging in cross-border transactions, making arbitration an indispensable tool for commercial dispute resolution in Nigeria.[3]

This study's focus on arbitration within the Nigerian context is critical for understanding its potential as a mechanism for promoting economic development and enhancing commercial relationships. The global reliance on arbitration stems from its ability to provide justice efficiently and fairly. However, for Nigeria to fully harness the benefits of arbitration, it must address existing challenges such as legislative bottlenecks and lack of awareness while embracing opportunities to modernize its arbitration system. By analyzing the background, scope, and significance of arbitration, this study lays the foundation for exploring the challenges and opportunities in greater detail.

2. Principles and Legal Frameworks for Arbitration in Nigeria

Arbitration is a private, legally binding dispute resolution process wherein parties agree to submit their disputes to an impartial arbitrator or panel of arbitrators, whose decision is final and enforceable. According to Blackaby et al. (Redfern and Hunter on International Arbitration), arbitration is grounded in the principles of party autonomy, neutrality, confidentiality, and enforceability. Party autonomy allows disputing parties to shape the arbitration process, including the selection of arbitrators and the governing procedural rules. This flexibility distinguishes arbitration from litigation, enabling it to meet the unique needs of commercial transactions. Additionally, Stavros (Comparative International Commercial Arbitration) highlights that arbitration fosters fairness and impartiality, as arbitrators are typically chosen based on their expertise and independence, ensuring decisions are informed and unbiased.[4]

The case of *M.V. Lupex v N.O.C. & S.C. Ltd*.[5] highlights the principle of party autonomy, a cornerstone of arbitration under the Arbitration and Conciliation Act (ACA) 1988. The dispute arose from a charter party agreement that included an arbitration clause. One party initiated court proceedings instead of arbitration, prompting the other to seek a stay of proceedings under Section 4 of the ACA. The Supreme Court held that where parties have agreed to submit their disputes to arbitration, courts must respect their choice and refrain from intervening unnecessarily. This case reaffirmed the binding nature of arbitration agreements and the

2 Moses, M.L.; The Principles and Practice of International Commercial Arbitration; 2017; Cambridge University Press; 3rd Edition.

3 Orojo, J.O., & Ajomo, M.A.; Law and Practice of Arbitration and Conciliation in Nigeria; 1999; Mbeyi & Associates Nigeria.

4 Blackaby, N., Partasides, C., Redfern, A., & Hunter, M.; Redfern and Hunter on International Arbitration; 2021; Oxford University Press; 7th Edition.

5 *M.V. Lupex v. N.O.C. & S.C. Ltd*. (2003) 15 NWLR (Pt. 844) 469

principle that arbitration is a voluntary, party-driven process, in line with the legal framework of the ACA.

Similarly, the case of *Kano State Urban Development Board v. Fanz Construction Co. Ltd.*,[6] illustrates the principle of finality in arbitration and the framework for enforcing arbitral awards under the ACA. The dispute involved a construction contract with an arbitration clause. Following the issuance of an arbitral award, one party sought to challenge the award in court. The Supreme Court upheld the award, emphasizing the binding nature of arbitral decisions under Section 31 of the ACA, which provides for the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards. The court further noted that arbitral awards can only be set aside on limited grounds specified in Section 48 of the ACA, such as misconduct by the arbitrator or a breach of natural justice.

The Arbitration and Conciliation Act (ACA) serves as the primary legal framework for arbitration in Nigeria, aligning with international standards as it is modeled after the UNCITRAL Model Law. The ACA governs critical aspects of arbitration, including the validity and enforceability of arbitration agreements (Section 1), the appointment and removal of arbitrators,[7] and the recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards.[8] It also provides limited grounds for setting aside or refusing the enforcement of arbitral awards,[9] thereby ensuring procedural safeguards while maintaining the binding nature of awards. Furthermore, Nigeria's ratification of the New York Convention, as incorporated in Section 54 of the ACA,[10] bolsters the enforceability of foreign arbitral awards within its jurisdiction. However, challenges such as judicial intervention in arbitration proceedings and delays in enforcing awards persist, undermining the Act's effectiveness in promoting arbitration as a swift alternative to litigation. Orojo and Ajomo, in *Law and Practice of Arbitration and Conciliation in Nigeria*, highlight these issues, while Russell (*Russell on Arbitration*) emphasizes the detrimental impact of such procedural inefficiencies on arbitration's potential to resolve disputes efficiently.[11]

While arbitration is a prominent ADR mechanism, it differs significantly from other methods such as mediation and negotiation. Unlike mediation, which involves a neutral mediator assisting parties in reaching a voluntary agreement, arbitration culminates in a binding decision by the arbitrator. Russell (Russell on Arbitration) explains that arbitration is often preferred for its finality and enforceability, particularly in commercial disputes where parties seek certainty and closure. Stavros (Comparative International Commercial Arbitration) further underscores that arbitration provides a structured framework with procedural safeguards, unlike negotiation, which lacks formal processes. However, mediation and negotiation are valued for their flexibility and lower costs, making them suitable for less complex disputes or where maintaining relationships is paramount.[12]

Arbitration offers a structured yet flexible dispute resolution mechanism that is particularly well-suited for resolving commercial disputes in Nigeria. With a robust legal framework anchored by the ACA and reinforced by international conventions, arbitration provides parties with an efficient and enforceable alternative to litigation. However, as Russell and Stavros emphasize, understanding arbitration's distinct characteristics in comparison to other

6 Kano State Urban Development Board v. Fanz Construction Co. Ltd. (1990) 4 NWLR (Pt. 142) 1
7 *Sections* 6–11 of ACA 1988
8 *Section 31* of ACA 1988
9 *Sections 29, 34, and 48* of ACA, 1988
10 *Section 54* of the ACA, 1988.
11 Russell, F.; Russell on Arbitration; 2015; Sweet & Maxwell; 24th Edition.
12 Stavros, L.B.; Comparative International Commercial Arbitration; 2003; Kluwer Law International.

ADR mechanisms is crucial for selecting the most appropriate method for resolving specific disputes. Through arbitration, Nigeria can enhance its business environment, provided it addresses existing challenges and promotes its adoption as a viable alternative.

3. Advantages of Arbitration

Arbitration offers significant advantages in resolving commercial disputes, particularly in the context of Nigeria, where businesses often face challenges such as inefficient legal systems and slow court proceedings. One of the primary benefits of arbitration is its ability to provide a quick resolution to disputes. This contrasts with the protracted delays often experienced in traditional litigation. Ajogwu (2021)[13] highlights that arbitration can streamline the dispute resolution process by allowing parties to choose their arbitrators, who are often experts in the subject matter of the dispute, ensuring an informed decision-making process. This expertise accelerates the proceedings, offering businesses an effective way to address conflicts without losing valuable time.

Another benefit of arbitration is its enforceability, especially in international commercial transactions. Idornigie (2019)[14] points out that Nigerian courts are increasingly willing to uphold arbitral awards, particularly when the country is a signatory to international treaties such as the New York Convention. This gives arbitration a significant advantage over other dispute resolution mechanisms, where enforcement might be challenging. Arbitration, therefore, provides businesses with a sense of security, as they can be confident that arbitral awards are more likely to be respected and implemented, even across borders.

In the case of *Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria Ltd. v Crestar Integrated Natural Resources Ltd*.[15] the binding nature of arbitration agreements and the limited scope of judicial intervention were underscored. The parties had entered into an arbitration agreement to resolve disputes arising from their contractual relationship. When one party attempted to bypass arbitration and proceed to court, the appellate court reaffirmed the principle enshrined in Section 4 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act (ACA), which mandates courts to stay proceedings where there is a valid arbitration agreement. The decision emphasizes the autonomy of arbitration and the court's obligation to uphold the terms agreed upon by parties.

Also, in the case *of Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) v Lutin Investments Ltd. & Anor.*,[16] the enforcement of arbitral awards and the pro-arbitration stance of Nigerian courts were highlighted. The Supreme Court ruled in favor of enforcing the arbitral award, despite challenges by NNPC to set it aside. The court held that arbitral awards are binding, pursuant to Section 31 of the ACA, unless valid grounds for refusal under Section 48 are established. This case demonstrates the judiciary's support for arbitration as an effective mechanism for resolving commercial disputes and ensuring finality.

Efficiency, Cost-Effectiveness, and Confidentiality

Efficiency and cost-effectiveness are among the most compelling reasons why arbitration is often preferred over traditional court processes, especially in commercial disputes.

13 Ajogwu, F. (2021). Commercial arbitration in Nigeria: Law & practice (3rd ed.). Fabian Ajogwu & Co.

14 Idornigie, P. O. (2019). Commercial arbitration law and practice in Nigeria. Jusmundi.

15 Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria Ltd. v. Crestar Integrated Natural Resources Ltd. (2015) LPELR-40034(CA)

16 Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) v. Lutin Investments Ltd. & Anor. (2006) 2 NWLR (Pt. 965) 506:

Arbitration allows the parties to have more control over the schedule and procedures, which can result in a faster resolution. According to Waziri (2020), arbitration proceedings tend to be shorter and less expensive because they avoid the complexity and formality that come with court litigation. These savings in time and money make arbitration an appealing choice for businesses seeking to avoid the protracted nature of litigation.[17]

Confidentiality is another feature that makes arbitration particularly suitable for commercial transactions. In many business disputes, the parties involved are keen to keep the details of the dispute private, to protect sensitive information. Peters (2020) explains that arbitration proceedings are generally private, and the resulting awards are not usually made public. This confidentiality helps safeguard the reputation of the businesses involved, as sensitive trade secrets, financial data, or other proprietary information are not exposed to the public eye. As a result, companies are more likely to choose arbitration as a dispute resolution mechanism because it allows them to resolve their issues discreetly without the risk of negative publicity.[18]

Several case studies illustrate the effectiveness of arbitration in resolving commercial disputes in Nigeria. One notable example is the case of *AIC Limited v. Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC),*[19] which demonstrates how arbitration can successfully resolve complex commercial disagreements in Nigeria's oil and gas sector. Hussaini (2018) discusses how arbitration was used to resolve contractual disputes between the two parties, highlighting the advantages of using arbitration in a sector where the stakes are high, and confidentiality is crucial. This case underscores the utility of arbitration in Nigeria's commercial landscape, where businesses in the energy sector often face high-value disputes.[20]

In the case of *Statoil (Nig.) Ltd. v. NNPC*[21] the court addressed the issue of excessive judicial interference in arbitration proceedings. The dispute involved a Production Sharing Contract (PSC), and the arbitration clause required disputes to be resolved through arbitration. Despite an arbitral award being rendered, one party sought to have it set aside on procedural grounds. The Court of Appeal reiterated that judicial intervention in arbitration should be limited, in line with Sections 29 and 34 of the ACA.[22] The court further emphasized that arbitration promotes efficiency and reduces the burden on traditional courts.

The case of *Okechukwu v. Etukokwu & Ors*.[23] underscores the principle of party autonomy in arbitration, particularly in the appointment of arbitrators. The dispute revolved around a contractual agreement that allowed the parties to select their arbitrators. When one party challenged the appointment process, the court upheld the parties' autonomy, as provided under *Sections 6–7* of the ACA. The decision reaffirmed the importance of respecting the procedural choices made by parties in their arbitration agreements and further solidified arbitration as a party-driven dispute resolution mechanism.

In another example, the arbitration process has been effectively used in Nigeria's banking sector, where the volume of commercial disputes has increased in recent years. Akanbi (2012) explains that Nigerian banks and financial institutions increasingly rely on arbitration to resolve disputes with clients or between themselves. In particular, arbitration provides a

17 Waziri, A. (2020). Arbitration and dispute resolution in Nigeria: Practice and procedure. Adebayo Publishers.
18 Peters, D. (2020). Alternative disputes resolution in Nigeria. African Books Collective.
19 *AIC Limited v. Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation* (NNPC), (SC 237/2001) [2005] NGSC 15 (20 May 2005)
20 Hussaini, H. (2018). Domestic commercial arbitration in Nigeria: Problems and challenges. LAP Lambert Academic Publishing.
21 *Statoil (Nig.) Ltd. v. NNPC* (2013) 7 NWLR (Pt. 1354) 228
22 Sections 29 and 34 of the ACA, 1988.
23 *Okechukwu v. Etukokwu & Ors*. (1998) 8 NWLR (Pt. 561) 295:

quick, cost-effective, and enforceable means of resolving financial disputes, where time and confidentiality are of the essence. These case studies demonstrate how arbitration is becoming an indispensable tool for resolving complex and high-stakes commercial disputes in Nigeria.[24]

Despite its advantages, there are still significant challenges in using arbitration as the primary means of dispute resolution in Nigeria. One of the main obstacles is the judicial intervention in arbitration proceedings. Olujobi and Ajayi (2018) note that Nigerian courts are sometimes overly involved in arbitration processes, often ruling on matters that should be within the scope of the arbitrators' discretion. This judicial interference can delay proceedings and reduce the effectiveness of arbitration as a swift dispute resolution method. Although the Arbitration and Conciliation Act is designed to minimize such interventions, practical challenges remain, such as the lack of consistency in court decisions regarding arbitral awards.[25]

Another challenge is the limited infrastructure and the lack of sufficient trained arbitrators within the Nigerian legal system. Idornigie (2019) argues that while arbitration is gaining recognition, there is a shortage of qualified, experienced arbitrators who can handle complex commercial disputes, particularly in niche areas such as international trade, energy, and technology.[26] This limitation can affect the quality of arbitration proceedings and deter businesses from fully embracing arbitration as a reliable method of resolving disputes. Addressing this issue would require targeted efforts in training arbitrators and ensuring that arbitration institutions are well-equipped to handle the increasing demand for arbitration services.

Arbitration offers numerous benefits for resolving commercial disputes, particularly in the Nigerian context. The speed, cost-effectiveness, confidentiality, and enforceability of arbitral awards make it a preferred choice for businesses engaged in commercial transactions. However, as highlighted in various case studies, challenges such as judicial intervention and a lack of qualified arbitrators still hinder its full potential. Despite these challenges, arbitration remains a vital tool for dispute resolution in Nigeria, particularly in sectors such as oil and gas, banking, and finance. Moving forward, addressing these challenges through better legal reforms and infrastructure development will enhance the role of arbitration in Nigeria's commercial landscape.

4. Challenges of Arbitration in Nigeria

Arbitration is increasingly seen as an efficient mechanism for resolving commercial disputes in Nigeria, particularly given the growing complexities of business transactions. However, despite its advantages, arbitration in the country faces significant challenges that hinder its widespread adoption and effectiveness. These challenges include legislative and institutional bottlenecks that slow the process and create inconsistencies in legal frameworks, as well as issues related to the enforcement of arbitral awards, which are often delayed or obstructed by the judicial system. Additionally, corruption and arbitrator bias raise concerns about the integrity and fairness of the arbitration process, while a lack of awareness and expertise in arbitration further undermines its potential. Addressing these challenges requires comprehensive reforms, greater investment in training, and improvements in institutional

[24] Akanbi, M. M. (2012). Challenges of arbitration practices under the Nigerian Arbitration and Conciliation Act of 1988: Some practical considerations. Arbitration: The International Journal of Arbitration, Mediation and Dispute Management, 78(4), 319-334.

[25] Olujobi, O., & Ajayi, O. (2018). Commercial dispute resolution: Has arbitration transformed Nigeria's legal landscape? Journal of African Legal Studies, 9(1), 204-209.

[26] Idornigie, P. O. (2019). Commercial arbitration law and practice in Nigeria. Jusmundi

support, all of which are critical for ensuring that arbitration can effectively serve its role in resolving commercial disputes in Nigeria.

i. Legislative and Institutional Bottlenecks

Arbitration in Nigeria faces significant legislative and institutional challenges that impede its effectiveness in resolving commercial disputes. One of the key issues is the slow pace of legal reforms, which affects the efficiency and attractiveness of arbitration as a dispute resolution mechanism. Kuforiji [27] discusses how Nigeria's legislative framework for arbitration, though largely based on the Arbitration and Conciliation Act of 1988, requires significant updates to address current global arbitration practices. The absence of up-to-date regulations that align with international standards creates confusion and inconsistencies in arbitration proceedings. Additionally, the institutional capacity for managing arbitration proceedings in Nigeria remains underdeveloped. The lack of specialized arbitration centers and trained personnel further exacerbates the problem.

Institutional weaknesses, such as inadequate support for arbitration by courts and government agencies, hinder the proper functioning of arbitration in Nigeria. Kuforiji notes that the lack of comprehensive institutional frameworks to oversee arbitration processes and manage arbitrator appointments in Nigeria often leads to delays in proceedings. Consequently, businesses may be reluctant to choose arbitration as a mechanism for dispute resolution, preferring to resort to litigation. In the face of these institutional bottlenecks, businesses are left facing inefficiency, which diminishes the appeal of arbitration as a quick and reliable means of resolving disputes.[28]

ii. Enforcement of Arbitral Awards

The enforcement of arbitral awards remains one of the most significant challenges in Nigeria's arbitration landscape. Despite Nigeria being a signatory to international agreements like the New York Convention, which should facilitate the enforcement of arbitral awards across borders, issues persist in both domestic and international contexts. Kuforiji highlights that Nigerian courts often exhibit reluctance in enforcing arbitral awards, especially when they involve powerful entities or sensitive sectors like oil and gas. This judicial intervention, sometimes contrary to the provisions of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, undermines the principle of finality in arbitration. It results in delays in the enforcement process, leading to frustration among businesses that rely on arbitration for its speed and efficiency.[29]

Bonnitcha (2021) elaborates on how the legal system's reluctance to enforce arbitral awards can be attributed to issues such as the presence of corrupt practices in the judicial system and inconsistencies in the application of arbitration law. This lack of confidence in the enforcement process makes Nigeria a less attractive destination for international arbitration, as foreign investors may fear that their awards may not be upheld in Nigerian courts. Without clear legal backing and a judicial environment supportive of arbitration, businesses find it difficult to rely on the dispute resolution process in Nigeria.[30]

---

27 Kuforiji Olamide Victoria. 2017. The challenges and prospects of arbitration in Nigeria. *Keffi Nsuk*. Retrieved from https://keffi.nsuk.edu.ng/bitstreams/48ca7577-92f2-426a-910d-aebc16c3adc3/download

28 *Ibid*

29 Kuforiji Olamide Victoria. 2017. The challenges and prospects of arbitration in Nigeria. *Keffi Nsuk*. Retrieved from https://keffi.nsuk.edu.ng/bitstreams/48ca7577-92f2-426a-910d-aebc16c3adc3/download

30 Bonnitcha, J. (2021, March 23). Corruption and confidentiality in contract-based ISDS: The case *of P&ID v. Nigeria*. *International Institute for Sustainable Development*. Retrieved from https://www.iisd.org/itn/en/

iii. Corruption and Arbitrator Bias

Corruption and arbitrator bias are pervasive issues that significantly affect the credibility of arbitration proceedings in Nigeria. According to Bonnitcha (2021), Nigeria's commercial arbitration environment is tainted by allegations of corruption and biased decision-making. These problems arise when arbitrators or parties to arbitration proceedings engage in unethical practices to influence the outcome of disputes. This undermines the integrity of the arbitration process and deters parties from using it as a dispute resolution method. Furthermore, Aisha (2021) argues that arbitrators in Nigeria may face pressures from influential figures, leading to biased judgments, which negatively impacts the fairness of the arbitration process.[31]

The lack of clear ethical guidelines and the insufficient regulation of arbitrators' conduct exacerbate this issue. As businesses increasingly seek arbitration for its neutrality and fairness, the perception of bias and corruption can have far-reaching consequences. If arbitral proceedings are perceived as susceptible to external influences, companies may seek alternative dispute resolution mechanisms, thus further diminishing the role of arbitration in Nigeria.[32]

iv. Lack of Awareness and Expertise in Arbitration

Another critical challenge in Nigeria is the lack of widespread awareness and expertise in arbitration. While arbitration is gaining popularity in the business community, many individuals, including legal practitioners, are still unfamiliar with its nuances. Aisha (2021) notes that a significant portion of the Nigerian legal profession has not been adequately trained in arbitration, which affects the quality of arbitral proceedings. Without the necessary expertise, both lawyers and arbitrators may fail to manage cases effectively, potentially leading to delays, errors, and unsatisfactory outcomes for parties involved.[33]

The lack of sufficient training and education on arbitration is particularly noticeable among judges and lawyers who are primarily trained in litigation. As a result, these legal professionals may be unaware of the complexities involved in arbitration or may treat it similarly to litigation, further contributing to its inefficiency. Moreover, Olujobi and Ajayi (2018) highlight the importance of improving the understanding of arbitration in Nigeria, noting that investment in education and awareness programs can help bridge this gap. Without addressing the issue of training and awareness, arbitration may remain a tool that is underutilized, despite its potential to resolve commercial disputes effectively.[34]

While arbitration holds significant promise as a tool for resolving commercial disputes in Nigeria, several challenges persist. Legislative and institutional bottlenecks, difficulties in enforcing arbitral awards, corruption and arbitrator bias, and a lack of awareness and

2021/03/23/corruption-and-confidentiality-in-contract-based-isds-the-case-of-pid-v-nigeria-jonathan-bonnitcha/

31 Aisha Abdallah, 2021. Challenges with recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards in Africa. *International Bar Association*. Retrieved from https://www.ibanet.org/challenges-with-recognition-enforcement-arbitral-awards-Africa

32 Arbitrator challenges: A practical guide. *Global Arbitration News*. (2020, December 3). Retrieved from https://www.globalarbitrationnews.com/2020/12/03/arbitrator-challenges-a-practical-guide/

33 Aisha Abdallah, 2021. Challenges with recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards in Africa. *International Bar Association*. Retrieved from https://www.ibanet.org/challenges-with-recognition-enforcement-arbitral-awards-Africa

34 Olujobi, O., & Ajayi, O. (2018). Commercial dispute resolution: Has arbitration transformed Nigeria's legal landscape? Journal of African Legal Studies, 9(1), 204-209.

expertise in arbitration all hinder its potential. Overcoming these challenges will require comprehensive legal reforms, the establishment of robust arbitration institutions, stricter ethical standards for arbitrators, and increased investment in training legal professionals. Addressing these issues will not only improve the efficiency of arbitration in Nigeria but also enhance its attractiveness as a dispute resolution mechanism for both domestic and international businesses.

5. Opportunities for Enhancing Arbitration in Nigeria

Strengthening Legal and Institutional Frameworks

To improve the effectiveness of arbitration in Nigeria, a primary focus should be on strengthening the legal and institutional frameworks that govern arbitration. While Nigeria has a foundation in the Arbitration and Conciliation Act of 1988, updates are needed to align the country's arbitration practices with international standards and to address the evolving needs of modern commercial disputes. Olamide [35] argues that comprehensive reforms in the arbitration legislation would help streamline procedures, reduce inefficiencies, and ensure that Nigeria remains competitive as an arbitration hub in Africa. Strengthening institutional frameworks, such as the establishment of specialized arbitration centers, would provide support for arbitrators and create a more robust environment for resolving disputes. These centers could play a key role in managing cases, handling administrative tasks, and offering services like training for professionals involved in arbitration.

The creation of independent arbitration institutions that are free from external interference, particularly from political and commercial interests, would bolster the credibility of the process. Aisha (2021) notes that countries with well-established arbitration institutions benefit from a more predictable and transparent dispute resolution process. By investing in these institutions, Nigeria could offer a more reliable and efficient environment for resolving both domestic and international commercial disputes. Furthermore, the establishment of clear and updated regulations concerning the selection and appointment of arbitrators would prevent delays and ensure the use of qualified experts in arbitration proceedings. These measures would reinforce the legitimacy of arbitration in Nigeria and improve the perception of its effectiveness both locally and internationally.[36]

Capacity Building for Arbitrators and Legal Practitioners

Another key opportunity for enhancing arbitration in Nigeria lies in capacity building for both arbitrators and legal practitioners. As noted by Aisha (2021), the lack of expertise in arbitration is a significant barrier to its effective implementation.[37] The legal profession in Nigeria has a strong focus on litigation, leaving little room for the cultivation of arbitration skills. To address this, a concerted effort is needed to develop training programs, workshops, and certification courses aimed at equipping lawyers, judges, and arbitrators with the necessary skills and knowledge. These programs should cover various aspects of arbitration, including procedural rules, ethical standards, and the latest trends in international arbitration. Establishing such

---

35 Olamide Victoria Kuforiji, 2017, The challenges and prospects of arbitration in Nigeria. Keffi Nsuk. Retrieved from https://keffi.nsuk.edu.ng/bitstreams/48ca7577-92f2-426a-910d-aebc16c3adc3/download

36 Aisha Abdallah, 2021. Challenges with recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards in Africa. International Bar Association. Retrieved from https://www.ibanet.org/challenges-with-recognition-enforcement-arbitral-awards-Africa

37 Aisha Abdallah, 2021. Challenges with recognition and enforcement of arbitral awards in Africa. International Bar Association. Retrieved from https://www.ibanet.org/challenges-with-recognition-enforcement-arbitral-awards-Africa

educational initiatives would create a pool of skilled professionals capable of managing complex arbitration proceedings efficiently and impartially.[38]

Building capacity also involves promoting diversity within the arbitration community, ensuring that arbitrators come from a wide range of professional backgrounds and expertise. This is particularly important given that arbitration is often preferred for its neutrality and independence. The more diverse and qualified the pool of arbitrators, the more likely arbitration will be perceived as an impartial and fair process. Efforts to enhance arbitrator training should focus on both theoretical and practical knowledge, encouraging the development of experienced professionals who can handle a variety of commercial disputes. Olamide[39] suggests that Nigeria's educational institutions, as well as private organizations, can play a significant role in building the capacity of these professionals through structured programs.

Promoting Arbitration through Public Awareness

Raising public awareness about arbitration is crucial for fostering a greater understanding of its benefits and overcoming skepticism surrounding its use in Nigeria. Currently, many businesses and legal professionals are either unaware of arbitration or may have misconceptions about its advantages compared to traditional litigation. Aisha (2021)[40] suggests that awareness campaigns could be launched to educate the public, including businesses, lawyers, and students, about the effectiveness of arbitration in resolving commercial disputes. By providing clear information about the advantages of arbitration—such as its efficiency, cost-effectiveness, and confidentiality—these campaigns could encourage more businesses to adopt arbitration as their preferred method for dispute resolution.

In particular, targeted outreach efforts should focus on educating small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), who may not be familiar with arbitration but could greatly benefit from its streamlined process. The role of professional associations, such as the Nigerian Bar Association (NBA) and the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators (CIArb), will be critical in driving these awareness efforts. Additionally, collaboration with international organizations and participation in global arbitration events could further enhance Nigeria's image as a leading center for arbitration in Africa. Promoting arbitration through such initiatives would help demystify the process and make it more accessible to a wider range of stakeholders, encouraging its use across various industries.[41]

Leveraging Technology in Arbitration Processes

The integration of technology into arbitration processes presents a significant opportunity to improve efficiency and accessibility. As the world moves toward more digital solutions, Nigeria can leverage technology to streamline arbitration procedures and reduce the time and cost associated with traditional dispute resolution methods. According to Bonnitcha (2021),[42]

---

38 *Ibid*.

39 Olamide Victoria Kuforiji, 2017, The challenges and prospects of arbitration in Nigeria. Keffi Nsuk. Retrieved from https://keffi.nsuk.edu.ng/bitstreams/48ca7577-92f2-426a-910d-aebc16c3adc3/download

40 Aisha *op. cit*.

41 Harnessing the potential of award review tribunals in Nigeria: A strategic approach. Kluwer Arbitration Blog. (2023, September 18). Retrieved from https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2023/09/18/harnessing-the-potential-of-award-review-tribunals-in-nigeria-a-strategic-approach/

42 Bonnitcha, J. (2021, March 23). Corruption and confidentiality in contract-based ISDS: The case of P&ID v. Nigeria. International Institute for Sustainable Development. Retrieved from https://www.iisd.org/itn/en/

the use of digital tools in arbitration, such as virtual hearings, online document management, and AI-assisted case analysis, can help overcome some of the logistical challenges associated with arbitration. With the growing adoption of remote work and digital communication tools, virtual arbitration hearings can facilitate access to arbitration for participants across the globe, removing geographical barriers and making the process more inclusive.[43]

Moreover, technology can be used to improve the transparency and management of arbitration proceedings. Platforms that enable secure and real-time sharing of documents and case files can ensure that all parties are up to date with the arbitration process, reducing delays caused by logistical inefficiencies. The use of artificial intelligence and machine learning to analyze case data could assist arbitrators in making faster and more accurate decisions. Additionally, automated systems for document management and evidence collection could reduce the administrative burden on arbitrators, allowing them to focus more on the substance of the case. As noted by Olamide[44] embracing technology in Nigeria's arbitration process could significantly enhance the speed and quality of commercial dispute resolution.

There are substantial opportunities for enhancing arbitration in Nigeria, ranging from strengthening legal and institutional frameworks to leveraging technology in the arbitration process. By updating legislation, establishing specialized arbitration institutions, and investing in capacity building for legal professionals and arbitrators, Nigeria can improve its arbitration practices and better meet the needs of businesses.[45] Promoting awareness about the advantages of arbitration and integrating technology into the dispute resolution process will also contribute to making arbitration a more attractive and effective option for resolving commercial disputes. These initiatives, if successfully implemented, could transform Nigeria into a regional leader in arbitration, fostering economic growth and improving the legal landscape for commercial transactions.

6. Comparative Analysis with Arbitration Practices in Other Jurisdictions

In examining the arbitration practices of jurisdictions such as Singapore, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom, this discussion highlights key lessons and best practices that Nigeria can adopt to enhance its own arbitration framework. While these jurisdictions have established themselves as global arbitration hubs through modern legal frameworks, institutional support, capacity building, and technological integration, Nigeria has an opportunity to improve its arbitration practices by learning from their experiences. By drawing on the strengths of these models, Nigeria can refine its legal infrastructure, develop professional expertise, and adopt innovative practices that would not only improve the efficiency and credibility of its arbitration system but also attract both domestic and international parties to resolve disputes through arbitration.

a. Singapore: A Hub for Efficient Arbitration

Singapore has emerged as a leading center for arbitration due to its strong legal framework, proactive institutional support, and focus on innovation. The Singapore International

2021/03/23/corruption-and-confidentiality-in-contract-based-isds-the-case-of-pid-v-nigeria-jonathan-bonnitcha/

43 *Ibid*.

44 Olamide Victoria Kuforiji, 2017, The challenges and prospects of arbitration in Nigeria. Keffi Nsuk. Retrieved from https://keffi.nsuk.edu.ng/bitstreams/48ca7577-92f2-426a-910d-aebc16c3adc3/download

45 Harnessing the potential of award review tribunals in Nigeria: A strategic approach. 2023.Kluwer Arbitration Blog. Retrieved from https://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2023/09/18/harnessing-the-potential-of-award-review-tribunals-in-nigeria-a-strategic-approach/

Arbitration Centre (SIAC) has gained global recognition for its efficiency and impartiality in resolving disputes. The country's legal system is aligned with international arbitration standards, particularly through the Singapore International Arbitration Act, which incorporates the UNCITRAL Model Law. This ensures that arbitral awards are enforced consistently, and the process is transparent and predictable. Furthermore, the Singapore courts take a supportive stance toward arbitration, often intervening minimally and only in situations involving enforcement or challenges to arbitral awards. These practices make Singapore an attractive destination for commercial dispute resolution, as businesses and investors value the stability and reliability of the arbitration process. Additionally, Singapore invests heavily in education and capacity-building programs, producing a skilled pool of arbitrators and legal professionals to further strengthen its arbitration ecosystem.

b. Switzerland

Switzerland is renowned for its neutrality and expertise in arbitration, which makes it an ideal venue for resolving international commercial disputes. The Swiss legal system is grounded in the UNCITRAL Model Law, which provides a flexible and efficient arbitration process that is recognized worldwide. The Swiss courts are known for their limited involvement in arbitration, intervening only when necessary, such as in the recognition and enforcement of awards. This supportive judicial approach allows arbitration to proceed without unnecessary delays, fostering a business-friendly environment. Additionally, the Swiss Arbitration Association (ASA) plays a crucial role in promoting the practice by offering educational initiatives, fostering professional networks, and providing resources for both new and experienced arbitrators. Switzerland's commitment to neutrality, combined with its well-established legal infrastructure and support for arbitration, makes it a valuable model for Nigeria, particularly in establishing an arbitration-friendly legal framework and promoting professional standards.

c. United Kingdom

The United Kingdom has a long-standing tradition of arbitration, and its arbitration practices have evolved with modern reforms to remain competitive on the global stage. The London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA) is one of the most well-established institutions, offering clear rules and procedural support for arbitration. The UK legal system is generally pro-arbitration, and its courts respect arbitration agreements, intervening only in exceptional cases, such as when enforcing awards or addressing irregularities in the process. This approach mirrors that of other leading jurisdictions and contributes to the UK's reputation as a neutral and reliable forum for resolving commercial disputes. Moreover, the UK continually invests in updating its arbitration laws to reflect global trends, ensuring that its practices align with international standards. For example, the recent reforms under the Arbitration Act 1996 have streamlined the arbitration process and introduced measures to enhance efficiency and reduce costs. The UK's combination of tradition and innovation provides a model for Nigeria, particularly in balancing judicial support with the flexibility required for international arbitration. Below are the lessons Nigeria can draw from the jurisdictions discussed above.

7. Lessons from other Jurisdictions

i. Strengthening Legal Frameworks and Institutional Support

One of the fundamental lessons Nigeria can draw from jurisdictions like Singapore, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom is the importance of a modern, clear, and supportive legal framework for arbitration. A well-established arbitration law that aligns with

international standards, such as the UNCITRAL Model Law, ensures that arbitration is predictable, transparent, and capable of handling both domestic and international disputes. For instance, Singapore's Arbitration Act and the SIAC's rules provide clear procedures for resolving disputes efficiently, which in turn encourages confidence in arbitration. Nigeria, in this context, can benefit from adopting a similar approach by modernizing its Arbitration and Conciliation Act to better reflect international best practices, such as empowering arbitral tribunals to make binding decisions without excessive court intervention.

Additionally, the creation of specialized arbitration institutions that manage cases and ensure procedural efficiency is crucial for Nigeria. The Swiss Arbitration Association (ASA), for instance, is integral to promoting arbitration and maintaining high standards through its educational programs and professional network. Nigeria can replicate this model by establishing dedicated arbitration centers staffed with experienced personnel to offer comprehensive administrative support, case management, and a roster of qualified arbitrators. Such institutions would not only reduce the burden on courts but would also ensure a professional environment where arbitration can be efficiently handled. Strengthening the legal and institutional frameworks in Nigeria would provide a more conducive environment for arbitration, both domestically and internationally.

ii. Capacity Building and Judicial Support

Another significant lesson for Nigeria comes from the proactive capacity-building efforts seen in countries like Switzerland and the United Kingdom. The Swiss model, particularly through its educational initiatives, is centered around developing a pool of highly qualified arbitrators and legal professionals who are well-versed in both the practical and theoretical aspects of arbitration. Similarly, the UK has long recognized the need to train and certify arbitrators to ensure they meet international standards. Nigeria should invest in creating opportunities for training arbitrators and legal practitioners through specialized certification programs, workshops, and seminars that promote the adoption of arbitration principles. Professional organizations such as the Nigerian Bar Association (NBA) and the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators (CIArb) can play a key role in providing these educational services, which would significantly enhance the expertise of professionals involved in arbitration processes.

Moreover, judicial support for arbitration is vital for fostering an arbitration-friendly environment. In both Singapore and the UK, courts play a supportive role by limiting interventions in arbitration proceedings, primarily intervening only in cases of enforcement of arbitral awards or where there are allegations of serious irregularities. Nigeria can learn from this approach by encouraging Nigerian courts to adopt a more pro-arbitration stance, where they respect arbitration agreements and minimize interference with the process. This can be achieved through continued judicial training and awareness programs that emphasize the benefits of arbitration and its role in enhancing commercial relationships. By creating a culture where the judiciary actively supports arbitration, Nigeria can build confidence in its arbitration system, thus attracting more businesses to resolve disputes through this method.

iii. Promoting Arbitration Through Awareness and Technology Integration

Promoting awareness of arbitration and integrating technology into the process are additional lessons Nigeria can learn from the jurisdictions discussed. In Singapore, for example, the legal community actively promotes arbitration through seminars, conferences, and awareness campaigns that inform businesses and legal professionals about the benefits of arbitration. Similarly, Switzerland's ongoing efforts to foster arbitration knowledge through the Swiss Arbitration Association create a network of arbitration experts that boosts confidence in the

system. For Nigeria, promoting arbitration through public awareness campaigns would help demystify the process, making it accessible to a wider audience, including small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). These campaigns could focus on educating businesses about the time and cost efficiencies arbitration offers compared to traditional litigation.

Furthermore, leveraging technology in arbitration can significantly enhance its efficiency and accessibility. Virtual hearings and online platforms for managing arbitration proceedings have become more common in countries like the UK and Singapore. The use of digital tools, such as document management systems and video conferencing platforms, has made it easier for parties to engage in arbitration without being hindered by geographical limitations or logistical challenges. Nigeria could benefit from incorporating similar technologies to streamline its arbitration processes, allowing for faster resolution of disputes and greater participation from international parties. By integrating technology, Nigeria can make arbitration more flexible and cost-effective, further improving its attractiveness as an arbitration destination.

To improve arbitration practices, Nigeria can draw key lessons from jurisdictions like Singapore, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom, which have successfully developed robust legal frameworks, supported arbitration institutions, and fostered a culture of professionalism and efficiency. Strengthening Nigeria's legal framework by updating the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, establishing dedicated arbitration institutions, and promoting judicial support for arbitration can lay the foundation for a more efficient arbitration system. Investing in capacity building through training programs for legal practitioners and arbitrators would enhance the expertise required for handling complex commercial disputes. Promoting awareness through public campaigns and integrating technology into the arbitration process would further ensure that Nigeria remains competitive in the global arbitration landscape. By adopting these best practices, Nigeria can significantly improve its arbitration processes, making it a more attractive and reliable option for resolving commercial disputes.

8. Conclusion and Recommendations

The study has provided an in-depth analysis of the role of arbitration in resolving commercial disputes in Nigeria, focusing on the challenges and opportunities within the context of a developing economy. Key findings highlight that while arbitration is widely recognized for its potential to offer efficient, cost-effective, and confidential dispute resolution, significant challenges hinder its effectiveness in Nigeria. These challenges include legislative and institutional bottlenecks, difficulties in enforcing arbitral awards, the prevalence of corruption and arbitrator bias, and a lack of awareness and expertise in arbitration. Additionally, the comparison with international best practices from jurisdictions like Singapore, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom demonstrates that countries with modern, supportive legal frameworks, robust arbitration institutions, and investment in professional development have successfully fostered environments conducive to arbitration. These findings underline the need for Nigeria to modernize its arbitration laws, improve institutional support, and invest in capacity-building to enhance its arbitration landscape.

Based on the findings, several policy and legal recommendations are proposed to improve arbitration practices in Nigeria. First, there is an urgent need to update Nigeria's Arbitration and Conciliation Act to better align with international standards, such as the UNCITRAL Model Law, to ensure clarity, consistency, and efficiency in arbitration processes. Strengthening the enforcement mechanism for arbitral awards, in line with the New York Convention, is crucial to ensuring that arbitral decisions are respected and upheld by Nigerian courts. Additionally, establishing independent and specialized arbitration institutions similar

to the Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC) would provide efficient administrative support and a roster of qualified arbitrators, thus reducing reliance on the court system. Judicial training on arbitration principles should also be prioritized to foster a more pro-arbitration stance among Nigerian judges, ensuring that courts only intervene in cases where it is absolutely necessary, such as in the enforcement of awards. These measures would help establish a more predictable and transparent arbitration framework that can attract international and domestic businesses.